United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	
10/649,715 08/26/2003		Timothy L. Albright	140/16A	6171	
36829	7590 10/15/2004	·	EXAMINER		
SCHWARTZ LAW FIRM, P.C.			NASH, BRIAN D		
6100 FAIRVI SUITE 530	IEW ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHARLOTTE, NC 28210			3721	•	

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						MY			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)		*			
Office Action Summary		10/649,715		ALBRIGHT, TIMOT	HY L.				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Brian D Nasl	1	3721		_			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the c	over sheet with the	correspondence add	lress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a red period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, eply within the statutor d will apply and will eaute, cause the applica	however, may a reply be ting minimum of thirty (30) day appres SIX (6) MONTHS from too become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	July 2004.							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election req	uirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 26 August 2003 is/are	e: a) 🛛 accepte	ed or b) Objected	to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required	if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).	•			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PT0	O-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bures and the attacked detailed Office section for a lie	nts have been ints have been into have been into have been into his document au (PCT Rule 1	received. received in Applicat s have been receiv 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certifie	a copies not receive	ea.					
	•								
Attachmen				(DTO 440)					
· ==	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	•					
3) Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5 ₅		Patent Application (PTO-	·152)				

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Comments

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received 28 July 2004. Applicant amended claim 1 and added new claims 2-4. The pending claims are now 1-4.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Although applicant has disclosed on the application data sheet that this is a continuation of application, a statement that "This is a continuation of application 09/934,417, filed 8/26/2003." should be included in order to ensure the applicant's entitlement to the earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C 120 is not overlooked. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 2-4 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Dependent claims 2-4 are directed toward a perforation blade and claim 1 specifically states "A method of forming an easy-open portion of a bag".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3721

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 2-4 do not positively site method steps and it is not clear how claims 2-4 further limit the method recited in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,636,925 to Smiley. Smiley discloses the same invention including a bag made by means of forming an easy-open corner portion of a bag comprising forming a starter nick (slit or cut 80) in a skirt (61,61') of the bag, forming a series of closely spaced perforations (70) in the first and second walls (51,52) of the bag. Smiley further discloses forming the starter nick on the skirt portions extending from the seal line (60) up to the edge and thereby bypassing the seal line of the bag and the starter nick to be in alignment with the perforations so as to be proximate the starter nick to one edge of the bag (see column 5, lines 12-42).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3721

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Nash whose telephone number is (703) 305-4959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached at 703 308-2187.

The fax number for this Group is: 703-872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Brian D. Nash 13 October 2004

> SCOTT A. SMITH PRIMARY EXAMINER